

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/469,506 12/22/1999		MICHAEL O'DELL	UUN99001 5045		
25537 75	590 12/29/2003	EXAMINER			
WORLDCOM, INC.			NGUYEN, HANH N		
TECHNOLOGY LAW DEPARTMENT					
1133 19TH STREET NW			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			2662	/6	
			DATE MAILED: 12/29/2003	,	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding. .

ř t	1	Application	on No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/469,50	06	O'DELL ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
		Hanh Ngu	ıyen	2662		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
A SHO THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICA asions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communical period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) daperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutor to to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, leply received by the Office later than three months after the different patients. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION.  7 CFR 1.136(a). In no ever ation.  y a reply within the statury, period will apply and will by statute, cause the appl	ent, however, may a reply be ti atory minimum of thirty (30) da Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS fror ication to become ABANDON	imely filed  ays will be considered timely.  In the mailing date of this communication.  ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
1)🛛	Responsive to communication(s) filed	on <u>Amendment file</u>	ed on 10/3/03 .	•		
2a)	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)		non-final.			
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practice on of Claims	allowance except under <i>Ex parte Qu</i>	t for formal matters, p uayle, 1935 C.D. 11,	prosecution as to the merits is 453 O.G. 213.		
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the app	lication.	•			
4	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are w	vithdrawn from cor	nsideration.			
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)	Claim(s) <u>1-27</u> is/are rejected.					
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8)[	Claim(s) are subject to restriction	and/or election re	equirement.			
Application	on Papers					
9)[] 7	Γhe specification is objected to by the Ex	kaminer.				
10) 🗌 7	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)	accepted or b)	objected to by the Exa	aminer.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection			The state of the s		
11) 🔲 🏻	he proposed drawing correction filed on	ı is: a)□ ar	proved b)⊡ disappr	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are require		ice action.			
12)[_] 1	he oath or declaration is objected to by	the Examiner.				
Priority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	foreign priority und	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation ee the attached detailed Office action for the action for</li></ol>	nal Bureau (PCT I	Rule 17.2(a)).	· ·		
	cknowledgment is made of a claim for de		•			
a) 15)∭ A	☐ The translation of the foreign languation of the foreign languation.cknowledgment is made of a claim for d	age provisional app	olication has been red	ceived.		
Attachment			_			
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		
S. Patent and Tra PTO-326 (Rev		ffice Action Summary	1	Part of Paper No. 10		

Application/Control Number: 09/469,506

Art Unit: 2662

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Objections

Claims 24-25 are objected to because of the following informalities:

In claim 24, line 7, "terminating device" should not be terminated by a comma ",".

Appropriate correction is required.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Claims 1-7, 10-16, 19-22 and 24-27 are rejected under 35 USC 102 (e) as being anticipated by **Wang et al.** (US Pat. No. 6,636,505 B1).

In claims 1, 2, 10, 11, 19, 20, 24 and 26, **Wang et al.** discloses an Ethernet home network 210 comprising PCs (end users) executing software instructions to perform point-to-point

Application/Control Number: 09/469,506

Art Unit: 2662

communication (end users executing software that communicate over an Ethernet LAN and establishing PPP communication sessions). See Figs. 9&11 & col.13, lines 35-60 & col.26, lines 20-40. PCs encapsulate ethernet packets (encapsulting ethernet packet; see col.17, lines 10-30) and transmit request for PVC to ATU-R that is coupled to the home network (forwarding the encapsulated packet to CPE that is coupled to the LAN; see col.16, lines 32-37). ATU-R (DSL modem) communicates with DSLAM 90 (line terminating equipment) to configure a PVC. DSLAM 90 connects to one of ATM switches 90 (Mux/Demux). See Fig.4. A PVC (a communication channel) is provided between PCs (end users) through ATM UNI (col.8, line 1-15) and mapped to ISP 100 (terminating at a remote server) such as multiple PPP communication sessions from PCs are carried over the PVC (simultaneously carrying sessions over a communication channel). See col.16, lines 57-65 & lines 10-15. Fig.9 shows that Internet 240 receives packet from ISP (forward packets to packet switch network).

In claims 7, 16 and 22, **Wang et al.** discloses PCs allowed to access one or more service providers 100 by PC provided between DSLAM 90 and PCs (dynamically selecting network services). See col.9, lines 35-45.

In claims 3, 12 and 21, the limitations of these claims have been addressed in claim 1.

In claim 4, **Wang et al.** discloses ATM PVC is established over an ATM network 80 (a communication channel exists over ATM network). See Fig.4.

In claim 13, **Wang et al.** discloses, in Fig.2, an ATM switch of ATM network 80 performing mux/demux (multiplexer/demultiplexer is an ATM switch).

In claims 5 and 14, **Wang et al.** discloses an ATM 's PVC is assigned to PCs (a PVC associated with ATM network is assigned to the CPE). See col.9, lines 35-45.

Art Unit: 2662

In claim 6 and 15, **Wang et al.** discloses a mapping of VPI/VCIs are assigned to multiple PPP over a single PVC (ppp sessions are mapped to distinct VPI/VCIs). See col.8, lines 1-15 & col.16, lines 55-65.

In claims 25 and 27, **Wang et al.** discloses in Fig.4 PCs can request for PPP connections different ISPs 100 (PPP corresponds to a first network service provider and a second network service provider), wherein each ISP is assigned a PVC (see col.8, lines 1-15.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 9 and 18 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over wang et al. (US Pat. No. 6,636,505 B1) in view of in view of Gidwani (US Pat. No. 6,640,239 B1).

In claims 9 and 18, **Wang et al.** does not disclose processing accounting information for each of user stations. **Gidwani** discloses, in Fig.1, billing server 128 processing charges to subscribers (processing accounting information). See col.7, lines 1-5 & col.20, lines 35.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the **Wang et al.** by adding the Billing server 128 of **Gidwani** so that accounting information of PC users is billed in accordance with the requested service.

Art Unit: 2662

Claims 8, 17 and 23 are rejected under 35 USC 103 (a) as being unpatentable over **Wang** et al. (US Pat. No. 6,636,505 B1).

In claims 8, 17 and 23, **Wang et al.** discloses PC users transmit ethernet packet via a bus in home network (see Fig.11), but does not disclose the packets conform with Ethernet V2 format. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skill in the art to modify the Wang et al. by using V2 Ethernet packet.

# Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-27 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

#### Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Cohen et al. (US Pat. No. 6,404,861 B1) discloses DSL Modem with Management Capability.

Brodigan (US Pat. No. 6,473,427 B1) discloses ATM Based VDSL Communication System Having Meta Signaling for Switching a Subscriber Between Different Data Service Providers.

Chiu (US Pat. No. 6,597,689 B1) discloses SVC Signalling System and Method.

Application/Control Number: 09/469,506

Art Unit: 2662

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hanh Nguyen whose telephone number is 703 306-5445. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:00 AM-5:30PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hassan Kizou can be reached on 703 306-4744. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 872-9314 for regular communications and 703 308-9051 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 305-4700.

Fax number: (703) 872-9314

Hanh Nguyen

December 17, 2003

Page 6